Need to strengthen gender in MSCA, SGHRM, EURAXESS, HRS4R and Charter&Code?

Hans M Borchgrevink
Vice Chair

Marie S Curie Advisory Group GS9 Gender Summit Brussels 8-9 Nov 2016

Research in EU – short history

 Fractioned with poorer scientific quality and less mobility than in USA (national borders, different terms)

Actions: EU Framework programmes (1984-), and

- Marie S Curie Actions (MSCA 1996-) to stimulate scientific quality and researcher mobility
- Charter & Code (2005-) to improve and harmonise national and RPO terms to facilitate mobility
- EURAXESS for practical assistance to mobile researchers
- HRS4R and HREIR Award to stimulate RPOs to implement Charter&Code and improve institutional terms and assistance for mobile researchers
- **ERC** (2007-) to stimulate basic research and scientific quality (Framework programmes more policy-directed)

Why increased focus on gender?

Gender equality – for fairness.

But besides,

- Gender diversity in research teams is shown to increase
 - **scientific quality** (e.g. study from gender-diverse vs uniform teams 34% more cited, Campbell & al 2013)
 - **societal relevance** (e.g. cancer risk higher for men in 32 of 35 diagnoses, up to >4-fold, Edgren & al 2012; see also https://genderedInnovations.stanford.edu
- Unconscious bias for the disadvantage of females, e.g. in scientific job applicant evaluation, shown in doubleblind randomised controlled trials both for male and female evaluators (e.g. Moss-Racusin & al 2012)

Gender in SGHRM, Charter & Code, EURAXESS and HRS4R

- SGHRM (ERA Steering Group for Human Resources and Mobility): OTM-R Report «Open, Transparent and Merit-based Recruitment of Researchers»
 - **open recruitment promotes** mobility, the matching of talent to opportunities, and **gender equality**
 - **gender balance**: not less than 1/3 of one gender in selection committee

SGHRM helped to establish the Charter&Code, EURAXESS and HRS4R

 Charter&Code: Employers and/or funders should aim for a representative gender balance at all levels of staff, and in selection and evaluation committees

ctd.

- **EURAXESS:** no specific gender declaration. The centres assist researchers and their families irrespective of gender in line with Charter&Code
 - EURAXESS TOP3 project task 4.3 addresses gender
 - Planned: EURAXESS Hague Conference May 2017 will address gender in plenary and workshop
- HRS4R (Human Resource Strategy for Researchers): a 5-step programme for RPO's implementation of Charter&Code including gap analysis and action plan published on website. No specific gender declaration

Marie S Curie Actions (MSCA) - gender issues (1)

H2020 Work Programme (WP) 2016-17:

- The Charter&Code principles should be applied
- The H2020 RRI cross-cutting issues, including integrating the gender and ethical dimensions, are endorsed
- MSCA pay particular attention to
 - equal opportunities
 - **gender balance**, both for supported researchers and in decision-making/supervision/management
 - gender dimension in research content
 - counteract gender barriers to mobility

ctd (2)

MSCA Advisory Group recommendations on gender to H2020 MSCA WP 2018-20:

- Incorporate gender analysis in career-tracking and statistics
- Encourage host institutions to join HRS4R procedure
- Set up an MSCA gender equality plan with clear objectives and follow-up measures
- Increase flexibility (e.g. combined positions, part-time)
- Raise awareness and competence among evaluators around inconscious or implicit gender bias
- Under-representation of women in research and senior academic positions remains a concern

ctd (3)

- Recent and planned gender inititatives:
- MSCA video on the importance of the gender dimension in research teams, decision-making and research content
- Briefing MSCA evaluators on unconscious bias including gender (to the disadvantage of women): short note, presentation incl video, evidence from PNAS paper, how to reduce and avoid bias
- Information package for MSCA fellows (drafted), underlining the importance of gender issues
- Planned: MSCA equal opportunities plan
- Planned: MSCA e-learning modules incl gender

Gender in H2020 - EU parliament decisions

A cross-cutting issue, fostering gender balance

- in decision making
 >40% of under-represented sex in panels,
 50% in Advisory Groups
- in research teams at all levels
- in research & innovation (R&I) content (integrating gender/sex analysis)

Gender in H2020 - implementation

- Advisory Groups: at least one expert with gender expertise in R&I; selection and briefing of experts after criteria from the Advisory Group for Gender
- In Proposals: applicants invited
 to explore whether and how the general properties.
 - -to explore whether and how the gender dimension is relevant to their project, and
 - to describe how sex/gender analysis is taken into account in the project's content
- EC statistics/monitoring:
 - % females in projects and coordinators/groups
 - % of projects with gender dimension included

Expert Group Recommendations on the Implementation of ERA Communication (2013) EUR26538

Gender equality and -mainstreaming, in (p 4+9)

- policies and structures to foster cultural and institutional change, incl decision/governing
- elimination of gender bias from assessment
- sex-disaggregated statistics at different levels.
 The Innovation Scoreboard should include % female researchers in Grade A positions
- diversified approaches to foster excellence

ctd. (p 47ff, 59ff)

- The Helsinki Group on Gender in R&I is welcomed among the ERA-related groups that feed in to ERAC
- The Commission should continue to cooperate with EUA, EARTO, LERU, Science Europe, Nord-Forsk and other relevant supra-national bodies
- Member States should
 - continue to enhance sex-disaggregated statistics
 in R&I, including time series, as basis for policies
 include gender in research teams and content as a criterion for funding

ERC Gender initiatives (1)

ERC Gender Equality Plans (GEP) 2007-13/2014-20 to

- raise awareness of gender policy and processes
- improve gender balance in candidates and teams
- identify and remove potential gender bias
- strive for gender balance
 while keeping focus on excellence

Working group on gender balance (2008-)

ERC Gender initiatives (2)

- 2007-13: in average only 25% of applicants and 20% of grantees were females (i.e. substantially lower female success rate)
- 2014: success rate 12% both for females and males
 - higher success rate for females than males for Consolidator Grants (for the first time!)

Science Europe

Science Europe Roadmap (2013), including

Gender and other Diversity Issues

Gender and Diversity Working Group (2014-)

- identifying significant indicators
- analysing the peer review process
- new ways to integrate sex and gender analysis into the research content
- gender and diversity in grant management
- embed gender and diversity in other policy areas
- liaising with other relevant initiatives/stakeholders

NordForsk

Gender Policy:

- Aim: to make visible how gender balance among researchers and gender perspectives on research topics increase the quality of research and policy decisions - implications for society
- Competitive funding processes should reflect this
- All advisory groups, review panels, expert groups, programme committees and NordForsk's Board and Secretariat should be gender balanced
- Annual reports should include gendered success rate and assess how the gender balance and a gender perspective on the research themes are implemented.

LERU (2015)

- Gender and sex analysis should be better integrated into R&I funding, content and implementation process
- Gendered R&I (GRI) is under-recognised and not well integrated in into research design
- University leaders and researchers can look to H2020 as a model
- GRI should be included in government policies and strategies, funders' programmes, universities' gender equality strategies, action plans, research activities and researchers' projects

Gender Equality Plans (GEPs)

- ERC has had GEP since 2007 and can show increasing success rates for female researchers
- The FP7 INTEGER project (2011-15): drawing upon gender disaggregated data, GEP is recommended as strategic intervention
- SHE figures 2015 (p100/115): GEPs had been set up in 36% of 1200 RPOs responding to the ERA Survey 2014 (= in 70% of personnel in the survey)
- The H2020 SAGE project (2016-19) aims to come up with a model for the pursuit of gender equality in higher education

Conclusions

- At present the gender dimension is less emphasised in SGHRM, Charter&Code and in EURAXESS than in the general H2020 guidelines. Recommendations from important stakeholders indicate need for strengthening. EURAXESS gender initiatives are planned.
- The MSCA gender commitment is more explicit. New initiatives are launched and in process.
- Conclusion: The gender dimension both regarding structural issues and in research content should be strengthened in MSCA, SGHRM, EURAXESS and the Charter&Code (in new annex?)